

July 19, 2021

Mr. Kevin J. Kramp Director, Office of Regulatory Policy Farm Credit Administration 1501 Farm Credit Drive McLean, VA 22102-5090

Re: Proposed Rule – 12 CFR Part 614 – RIN 3052-AC94; *Collateral Evaluation Requirements*; 86 Federal Register 27308-27323

Dear Mr. Kramp:

Louisiana Land Bank appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Farm Credit Administration's (FCA) Proposed Rule regarding Collateral Evaluation Requirements that was published on May 20, 2021 (the "Proposed Rule").

We fully support the comments made by the Farm Credit Council (FCC) on behalf of the System in response to the Proposed Rule. While we agree with many of the goals and objectives stated by the FCA for the Proposed Rule, for the reasons more fully explained in the FCC's comment letter, we do not believe that the Proposed Rule as currently presented satisfies these goals and objectives and that the Proposed Rule presents a number of compliance issues for Farm Credit System institutions and their appraisers and chattel evaluators, imposes costs and burdens on System customers and borrowers, and causes unnecessary confusion and inconsistency within FCA regulations and related authorities.

Additionally, although Louisiana Land Bank supports the position of the FCC regarding the Proposed Rule, we have also identified the following concerns with the Proposed Rule which we raise for your consideration:

- The proposed rule includes getting written permission from another lender to use an appraisal they engaged previously and would burden an applicant or borrower financially. This would greatly extend the time to close a loan.
- The proposed rule includes providing copies of any appraisal or collateral evaluation of any type of asset and providing the reports with a turnaround timeframe of seven days. This places an increase burden on our bank and conflicts with other regulations that require the lender to provide the requested evaluations "as soon as possible."
- The proposed rule ventures beyond USPAP requirements and imposes an obligation that an appraisal report to satisfy "any reader" of the report, including any future or unknown reader. This would place impossible burdens on staff appraisers as well as fee appraiser. This would exceed any requirement of USPAP and would limit the qualified and reputable appraisers who provide services to our

bank. A fee increase from qualified fee appraisers would also be likely on such assignments, further burdening the borrowers and lenders.

- The proposed rule is requiring us to assign a value to all collateral, including collateral taken on a blanket lien basis. Other lenders are not required to do this as it is expensive and impractical.
- The prosed rule does not change the *de minimis* level of \$250,000 to \$400,0000 (consumer loan) and \$500,000 (commercial loan). Other regulators have made these changes. Failing to make these changes will place our association at a disadvantage with other lenders.
- The prosed rule would require us to only use older collateral evaluations when "only if" the valuations or evaluations reflect current market conditions at the time of use.
- These changes will place our association at a disadvantage with other competing lenders. Some of the changes will add financial burden to our borrower and to our association.

We appreciate the FCA's review of the existing collateral evaluation regulations for opportunities to improve the organization and readability of the regulations as well as to expand authorities on using various sources of appraisers, evaluators, and automated valuation tools. However, for the reasons set forth in the FCC comment letter and as outlined herein, we do not support the Proposed Rule as currently presented.

Accordingly, we respectfully request that the FCA withdraw the Proposed Rule, or alternatively, we request an opportunity for System representatives and industry experts to meet with FCA. This meeting could explore possible improvements that could be made to existing guidance to accomplish the stated objectives of the Proposed Rule and/or to further safety and soundness regarding appraisals and collateral evaluations in another way.

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on the Proposed Rule, and we hope that our comments herein, as well as those submitted by the FCC and other System institutions, will assist the FCA in reevaluating the Proposed Rule.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

William F. Arbuckle, Jr. Senior Appraiser Louisiana Land Bank